SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMPS_26751**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Hydroalcoholic extract of Alstonia scholaris arrests ingress of Plasmodium falciparum by effective RBC membrane stabilization**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**ABSTRACT** **Line 13: 'In order to assess their acclaimed potential' ------- Author is describing only one extract, therefore the above statement should be rephrased.** **Materials andMethods** **Line 60: What is the herbarium number attached to the plant after authentication?** **Line 72 and 74: 'Both the extract----'** **Conformation of active constituents-----** **Author needs to check grammar and tenses for correctness** **Line 73-74: This study describes the antimalarial effect of hydro-alcoholic extract of A.scholaris, after the extract has been obtained in line 64-65 (under preparation of crude extract), how many other selected solvents were used (line 73) and how many extracts did you eventually obtain?** **Line 95-112: it seems the author used both aqueous and hydro-alcoholic extracts here, then the title of the manuscript and abstract should reflect the two extracts used.** **Line 127: 'iRBC' should be written in full before abbreviation as it is being used for the first time in the manuscript.**	

SDI Review Form 1.6

	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION **Results are usually presented separately from discussion to enhance quality presentation, but in this manuscript because both results and discussion are mixed together, the discussion is almost absent or superficial.** **Tables 2 and 3: there is no consistency in number of extract used and the dose used for treatment. Lowest and highest dose in table are different from the lowest and highest dose in table 2. The decimal places are also not consistently applied in dose concentration.** **Line 241: Title of the figure is incomplete and should be below (not above) the figure. Rephrase title as: 'Assay for inhibition of plasmodium falciparum ingress (entry) into RBC'.** **Line 243:Table 5 is not self-explanatory and appears to be a replication of the information on figure in line 241.** **Number of groups in experimental design should be uniform/consistent throughout the manuscript**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Alli Lukman Adewale**
Department, University & Country	**Medical Biochemistry, University of Abuja, Nigeria**