



## SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_26367
Title of the Manuscript:	Duct Tape with Adhesive Cyanoacrylate Versus Cryotherapy in the Treatment of Warts
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Title - Not specific enough. SMARTER.</b>  <b>Suggested Title - Comparison of Duct tape with adhesive cyanoacrylate versus cryotherapy in the treatment of palmoplantar warts.</b>  <b>Abstract - Adequate</b>  <b>Keywords - Too many. Reduce to maximum 4 or 5</b>  <b>Introduction - There are different types of cutaneous warts such as common, plain, filiform /digitate,</b></p>	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

	<p>palmoplantar etc. It will be appropriate to be specific in the type that this comparative study is the target of the therapy. This will make it easy when discussing the results. Obviously not all types of cutaneous warts will be amenable to this suggested line of management</p> <p><b>Methods - Adequate</b></p> <p><b>Results - Adequate</b></p> <p><b>Discussion - The observation noted in the introductory part above is now obvious in this segment. The therapy is now seen to be tailored towards resolution of palmoplantar warts. It will also be good to discuss the results of the study generally rather than having a bias towards Pediatrics population which were not the sole target of the therapy.</b></p> <p><b>Conclusion - Adequate</b></p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Address the points mentioned above.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	This is a good comparative study and a little revision along the lines suggested above will make this a worthy contribution to the journal.	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Taofik Atanda Salami</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Department of Internal Medicine, Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma, Edo State, Nigeria</b>