



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_25959
Title of the Manuscript:	Diagnostics: Conventional versus Modern methods
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	80 – Methods are not discussed. Host- pathogen and transmission mechanism has been discussed.. No discussion is there on laboratory detection methods. 82 – Pls provide reference for the table . 149 – or small molecules which make them different from each other. (either be specific else can be deleted) 155 – The method is FAST, accurate 188 – ‘and’ to be deleted	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	24 – diagnosis 34 – particular STAGE OF lifecycle 35 – point of care DIAGNOSTIC METHODS 90 – ‘well into the afternoon ‘ can be deleted 140 – DNA and insitu hybridization (comma not required) 195 – that – those 197 – to delete ‘ the best option’ 203 – imposing an unfair burden on a particular group	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mamta Soni
Department, University & Country	Haematology & Clinical Pathology, Apollo Hospitals, India