



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_25959
Title of the Manuscript:	Diagnostics: Conventional versus Modern methods
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1) Important statements are without proper references 2) Reference writing not as per standard format 3) Newer diagnostic methods like RT PCR, NAT and FISH are discussed briefly. This can be elaborated.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Grammatical errors	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Good article. Can be still better article if above comments are incorporated	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kishan Prasad HL
Department, University & Country	Pathology , K S Hegde Medical Academy of Nitte University, India