SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_24848
Title of the Manuscript:	The healthcare safety environment: health workers' attitude to medical errors
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that \underline{NO} manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors of the present study reviewed "The healthcare safety environment: health workers' attitude to medical errors". The aim of this review paper was "to identify correlates of the healthcare workers' attitude toward medical errors prevention within the health facility environment". They concluded that "Work stressors impact health workers' ATEs, including private health workers, who are often under a financial target pressure. They also concluded that, "older health workers can play a role in combating medical errors risk in the healthcare institutions arena". The topic of this study has a worldwide importance. The authors have presented and explained their study in a very sophisticated and yet understandable way. Especially, the discussion part is written very well by providing relevant references. In addition, the topic is reviewed from different angles in a very clear way. Many health workers can benefit from the findings of this study.	
Minor REVISION comments	There are a few minor points to be considered: - Reference number 2 given in the "Reference" section (page 16) should be corrected: That paper was published in 2002, not in 2008. - On page 4, it is stated by the authors that: "In this work, healthcare providers from selected private health organizations in greater Cairo,	



www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Egypt were surveyed during the period between March 2014 and June 2014".	
	What is the reason for choosing this time period? I wonder why a longer period was not chosen.	
Optional/General comments	-	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neslihan Toyran
Department, University & Country	Dokuz Eylul University, Turkey

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)