



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_24778
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional Factors Associated With Breast Cancer at Gaza Strip: A Hospital Based Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- Reference Style References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3]. See in: http://www.sciencedomain.org/page/general-guideline-for-authors ; - The paper need a statistical treatment; - The conclusion doesn't have a global inference; - Improve graphs 4.1, 4.3 and 4.4.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Confidencial Comments: The paper is poor, it has a huge amount of data but doesn't have the most important statistical treatment and the main inference, should be rejected now but after the processing of data by a statistical will could be considered acceptance. Guimarães, CLS.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Rondônia State, Brazil