



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_24239
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF THE RETICULAR FIBRES ON CADMIUM INDUCED LIVER DAMAGE FOLLOWING ORAL ADMINISTRATION OF MORINGA OLEIFERA OIL AND ANACARDIUM OCCIDENTALE OIL IN WISTAR RATS.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I reviewed the original manuscript and highlighted the required changes in yellow colour with sticky notes. Therefore, this manuscript should not be accepted without correction after serious major revision. Example; Although, the study was designed on a toxicological standard with a histological analysis, it lacks the very basic histological results as authors utilized one single staining technique (Silver) and got unclear, bad-focused, thick-sectioned and vague-stained sections with non-acceptable photomicrographs. "Introduction" is so long (about 25% of the text) while "Discussion" is so short (9% of the text) and did not include comparisons except for two references [28] and [6], while there are a plenty of similar research articles in citations.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Minia University, Egypt