



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_20079
Title of the Manuscript:	A Simple and Sensitive UV Spectrophotometric Method for the Determination of Ibandronate Sodium in Pharmaceutical Formulations and Bulk Drugs
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- The background regarding the methods for the determination of ibandronic acid is missing. - The manuscript is lack of a separate discussion section which is mandatory in an original article. - The references are not enough in number. More and recent citations are necessary. Ethical Issue: Please provide the committee where the ethical approval was obtained.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- There are some minor issues which were addressed inside the pdf file.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	- I appreciate the effort of the authors on this article. The subject of the article is of interest and methodological quality is not fair. However, the manuscript needs a re-writing.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Cukurova University, Turkey