SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_JAMPS_16569**
Title of the Manuscript:	**COMPARATIVE STUDIES ON THE EFFECTS OF ZIDOVUDINE, NEVIRAPINE, LAMIVUDINE AND BIOCLEAN II ON FEMALE HIV/AIDS CASES IN NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript presents weaknesses matter of ethics and scientific rigor in terms of good practice in clinical trial The reference list is poor please complete with rescent references (statements based on outdated references) Authors must precise competing interest relative to BIOCLEAN II product Authors must make a deep revision before a new submission - **Ethical issue:** Authors should join the ethics committee approval (Why put patients in need of antiretroviral TREATMENT under a treatment not yet validated?) - Authors have to follow WHO Guidelines for good clinical practice (GCP) for trials on pharmaceutical products	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Jean Sakande***
Department, University & Country	***Deparment of laboratory Medecine , University of Ouagadougou, Burkina FASO***