



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	2015_JAMPS_16569
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDIES ON THE EFFECTS OF ZIDOVUDINE, NEVIRAPINE, LAMIVUDINE AND BIOCLEAN II ON FEMALE HIV/AIDS CASES IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Alter the unit of CD4⁺T-cell from cells/ml to cells/μL both in text and table 4. 2. Italicize 'et al' throughout the text. 3. The authors should clearly write the abstract under the subheadings: Background, Materials and methods or simply methodology, Results etc. 4. The authors did not indicate whether they obtained the informed consents of the research subjects as well as ethical approval. Ethical Issue: Yes. Informed consent and ethical approval or consideration (as the case may be) should be clearly stated.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Line 110: Alter room temperature from 27±1°C to 20±5°C. 2. Line 115: put CD4⁺ no lyse buffer 3. Line 117: Put CD4⁺ bearing monocytes instead. 4. Correct the typographical errors in lines 166 (differences) and 184 (Lamivudine).	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fasakin Kolawole
Department, University & Country	Department of Haematology, Federal Teaching Hospital, Ido Ekiti, Nigeria