

Original Research Articles

Ownership and utilization of insecticide treated nets (ITNs) among selected residents of rural Communities in Rivers State, Nigeria.

Abstract

This was a cross sectional study designed to assess the level of ownership and utilization of insecticide treated bed nets (ITNs) among residents of selected rural Communities in Rivers State, South-South, Nigeria. Structured pre – tested questionnaires were administered to 250 volunteer male and female dwellers of the communities, aged 17 to 40 years were recruited during this study to capture information on ownership and utilization of ITNs. Social demographic characteristics of respondents, ownership, consistent use of insecticide treated nets (ITNs) and reason behind ownership were assessed. The data obtained were subjected to statistical analysis. The result of this study revealed 37.6% utilization of insecticide treated nets (ITNs). Of the 56.4% of ITNs owned, 33.6% was provided by the government, 17.2% was provided by NGOs while 5.6% was provided by others. The highest rate of utilization 16.4% fell between the age ranges of 31 – 40. The overall ITN utilization rate among rural dwellers was 30.4% for females and 7.2% for males. Reasons for non ITNs utilization includes- chemical irritation (35.5%); heat (51.1%); laziness (7.8%) while no reason (5.7%) was given for non ITNs utilization. It is concluded that despite the high level of awareness of ITNs found in this study, possession and utilization of insecticide treated nets (ITNs) among rural dwellers were low. Public health education needs to be intensified to create more awareness and increase ownership and utilization while making ITNs accessible.

Key words: Insecticide treated nets, rural dwellers, Rivers State, Utilization, South -south Nigeria.

INTRODUCTION

An insecticide treated net (ITN) is a net (usually a bed net) designed to block mosquitoes physically and that has been treated with safe residual insecticide for the purpose of killing and repelling mosquitoes, which carry malaria. The use of ITNs is currently considered the most cost-effective method of malaria prevention in highly endemic areas. The use of ITNs or LLINs is the main method of malaria prevention employed in Nigeria. Free LLINs are distributed through mass campaigns, public health facilities, faith-based organizations, nongovernmental organizations (NGOs), retail commercial outlets, and maternal and child health weeks with the goal of achieving universal access ^[1].

ITNs are known to be highly effective in reducing malaria morbidity and mortality. Insecticide treated bed nets (ITNs) have introduced in Nigeria as an effective means of preventing mosquito bites and malaria transmission following the meeting of African Heads of States in Abuja,

Nigeria in the year 2000 ^[2]. However, usage varies among households such variations in actual usage may seriously limit the potential impact of nets and cause spatial heterogeneity on malaria ^[3]. ITN were developed in the 1980s for malaria prevention, it is estimated to be twice as effective as untreated nets and offer greater than 70% protection compared with no net. Newer, longer lasting insecticide nets (LLIN) have now replaced ITNs in most countries ^[4].

LLIN have been shown to be the most cost effective prevention method against malaria and are part of WHO's millennium development goals (MDGs) ^[5], its distribution appears to have benefits other than reduced mortality such as reduced anaemia.

The WHO and Roll Back Malaria (RBM) partnership now recommend that distribution of LLINs be free or heavily subsidized to achieve greater equity of coverage ^[6]. A total of 83 countries, of which 39 are in the African Region, distribute ITNs free of charge ^[7]. The lifespan of a long-lasting ITN is currently estimated to be 3 years ^[8]. Nets delivered in 2008 and 2010 are therefore already due for replacement, and those delivered between 2012 and 2013 soon will be.

Failure to replace these nets could lead to a resurgence of malaria cases and deaths ^[5]. The best evidence for the effectiveness of ITNs distribution comes from a randomized controlled trial of insecticide treated net campaigns ^[9].

Although ITN users are still protected by the physical barrier of the netting, non-users could experience an increased bite rate as mosquitoes are deflected away from the non-lethal bed net users. The modeling suggests that this could increase transmission when the human population density is high or low when mosquitoes are more adept at locating their blood meals ^[6].

The present study was therefore designed to assess the level of ownership and utilization of ITNs among selected residents of rural communities in Rivers State, South – south, Nigeria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area

Two different rural communities (Odufor and Okwale) located in Etche and Khana Local Government Areas of Rivers State respectively were chosen for the study. These rural communities include:

1. **Odufor Community:** This is one of the biggest communities in Ulakwo Umuselem Clan; in Etche Local Government Area of Rivers State located within a region where Rivers Otamiri and Ogouchie meet, hence it is called a “confluence community”. The community has an estimated population of about 2,600 people ^[10], with the predominant occupation as farming and fishing.

2. **Okwale Community:** This is the largest Community in Khana Local Government Area of Rivers State. It has the busiest Primary Health Care Centre in the Area. It has three Primary Schools and one secondary School catering for the community with a population of approximately 3,400 people ^[10].

Rivers State is located in the South South region of Nigeria with a population of 5.2 million^[10], making it the sixth most populous state in Nigeria. Its capital is Port Harcourt is the largest city and is economically significant as the centre of Nigeria's oil industry. The state is bounded to the east by Akwa state, to the West by Bayelsa state, to the North by Abia and Imo states and to the South by Imo state.

Study population

250 volunteer male and female dwellers of the communities where aged 17 to 40 years were recruited for the study between January, 2012 and March, 2015. The age range represents the age that are more prone to contracting malaria and more likely to be sensitized. To arrive at the sample size, the prevalence of ITN utilization in Southern, Nigeria (18%) as determined was used. This was substituted in the Taro Yamane formula for calculation of sample size. Sample size is 250 and is determined using the formula, $N = Z^2 pq / D^2$, where, $Z = 1.96$ at 95% confidence limit and error of 5% tolerated, $P = \text{Proportion of those using ITNs} = 18\%$ ^[19], $D = \text{Sample error tolerated} = 5\%$ or 0.05.

Questionnaire administration

A pre – tested structured questionnaire was administered to the volunteer subjects to obtain information on socio - demographics, ownership and utilization of ITNs. The questionnaire was composed of five sections A to E, with section B dwelling on use of ITNs.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20.0. Descriptive statistics and cross tabulation was used to examine the characteristic of samples Logistic. Graphs and charts were used for data representation.


Ethical consideration

A freely administered informed consent with interpreters was given to the residents for participation in the study. Approval was obtained from heads of the different communities used for the study and from the volunteer subjects. Study field approval was obtained from the University of Port Harcourt ethical committee.

Results

A total of 250 respondents aged between 17 and 40 years participated in this study. Figure 1 shows percentage participation of male and female rural dwellers. Of the 250 respondents, 47 (18.8%) respondents were male dwellers of Odufor community, 63 (25.2%) were male dwellers of Okwale community, 80 (32%) were female dwellers Odufor community while 60 (24%) were female dwellers of Okwale community. However, there was a significant difference among community dwellers ($X^2 = 250.00$, $p = 0.001$), depicting that 110 (44%) of the participants were males while 140 (56%) were females. There was a significant difference between both sexes ($X^2 = 102.00$, $p = 0.000$). 26.40% of the participants fell between the age ranges of 17 – 25, 41.60% between the age ranges of 26 – 30 while 32% fell between the age ranges of 31 – 40 (figure 2).

109




110

111

Figure 1: percentage of male and female volunteer subjects of the rural communities

112

113



114

115

Figure 2: Showing age range of volunteer rural dwellers

116

117

118

119

120

121

141 representing 56.4% of the studied population (table 1 and 2), owned ITNs in their homes, out of which 90 representing 63.8% were females from both communities, and 51 representing 36.2% were males. A total of 94 representing 37.6% (table 2), utilizes the nets, out of which 76 representing 30.4% were females, while 18 representing 7.2% were males from both communities. A total of 47 respondents (18.8%) never used the nets.

36 representing 25.5% of ITN owners fell between the age ranges of 17 – 25, 56 representing 40.4% of ITN owners fell between the age ranges of 26 – 30, while 48 representing 34% of ITN owner.

Table 1: Insecticide treated nets (ITNs) ownership within specific demographic characteristics

VARIABLES	ITN OWNERSHIP (n=250)		X ²	P – value
	YES	NO		
	n (%)	n (%)		
Sex				
Male	51 (36.2)	47 (43.12)	37.757	0.001
female	90 (63.8)	62 (56.88)		
Age Range (Yrs)				
17-25	36 (25.5)	34 (31.19)	2.108	0.118
26-30	57 (40.4)	39 (35.78)		
31-40	48 (34)	36 (33.03)		




Figure 3: Sources of insecticide treated nets (ITNs) among rural dwellers

Table 2: Insecticide treated nets (ITNs) utilization and ownership in relation to demographic characteristics

VARIABLES	ITN UTILIZATION (n=250)		X ²	P - value
	YES	NO		
	n (%)	n (%)		
Sex				
Male	18 (7.2)	92 (36.8)	37.757	0.001
female	76 (30.4)	64 (25.6)		

Age Range (Yrs)				
17-25	15 (6)	51 (20.4)		
26-30	38 (15.2)	66 (26.4)	12.625	0.002
31-40	41 (16.4)	39 (15.6)		
ITN Ownership	141 (56.4)	109 (43.6)	12.625	0.001
- Usage:	94 (37.6)			

The result in table 3 shows, 50 (35.5%) of the sample population that do not use ITNs said it was because of the chemical irritations. Also 72 (51.1%) of the sample population that do not use ITNs said it was because of the high night temperature. Laziness was the reason why 11 (7.8%) of the sample population did not make use of ITNs. While 8 (5.7%) gave no reason for not making use of ITNs.

Table 3: Reasons for insecticide treated nets (ITNs) non- usage

VARIABLES	Reasons for ITN Non Usage (n=141)				X ²	P - value
	Chemical Irritation n = (%)	Heat n = (%)	Laziness n = (%)	No Reason n = (%)		
ITN Ownership	50 (35.5)	72 (51.1)	11 (7.8)	8 (5.7)	152.000	0.000

DISCUSSION

Residents of rural communities of Rivers State are at risk of contracting malaria owing to the swampy nature of most localities in the region, which serves as breeding ground for mosquitoes. Insecticide treated nets (ITNs) have been shown to have multiple benefits; through protection from mosquito bites. ITNs, together with improved campaigns for highlighting its needs, are indicated as an important way forward to better health^[9]. The total insecticide treated nets (ITNs) usage from this study was 37.6%. This is an improvement on earlier findings that 23.8% of youths use ITNs^[11, 12]. This study also reveals 56.4% of ITN ownership out of the studied population. However despite the increase in the overall utilization rate observed in this study, it still portends a disappointing picture in the campaign for ITN usage. This is as against the 60% target set for ITNs utilization by African Heads of state called the Abuja declaration on malaria in 2005. However, the 2008 world Malaria Report recommended universal coverage targets of 80% by 2010 and its maintenance at this level.

This study revealed that of the 56.4% of ITNs owned, 33.6% was provided by the government, 17.2% was provided by NGOs while 5.6% was provided by others. These findings are not consistent with the report that lack of a tradition of net use is commonly the most important reason given for not owning or using a net. Other commonly given reasons for not using nets include cost, lack of availability, net being too hot and uncomfortable to sleep under^[13, 14].

The age distribution in relation to utilization of ITN has shown in this study that the Undergraduates in the age group 31 – 40 years have the highest rate of utilization 16.4%. Since this study involved a heterogenous population (Male and female rural dwellers) whose age brackets are naturally defined, this observation may not be enough to validate any conclusion. It is however interesting to note that the rate of utilization of ITNs is influenced by the level of enlightenment acquired revealing that utilization rate increases as the age range increases.

This study also revealed that 76 (30.4%) of females and 18 (7.2%) of males use insecticide treated nets (ITNs). This shows that majority of the rural dwellers do not use ITNs regularly despite the emphasis placed on it through the roll back malaria programme. This result is comparable to several surveys in Nigeria and Africa, where ITN use varies from 5% to 70% depending on this studied population. Its use is usually high among children and pregnant women^[15, 16, 17, 18].

This study showed that 35.5% had fear chemical irritation as reason for non ITN use, 51.1% was for heat as a reason for non ITNs use, 7.8% reason was laziness and 5.7% gave no reason for non ITNs use.

Reasons for non-use of ITNs in this study varied among respondents and included: presence of chemicals, presence of heat, laziness and no reasons. However, there are dearth literatures to support these findings. But results shows that presence of heat represent 51.1% and presence of chemicals represents 35.5% of the reasons for non-use of ITNs.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, despite a high level of awareness of ITNs found in this study, possession and utilization of insecticide treated nets (ITNs) among rural dwellers in both communities were low.

References

1. Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey. Abuja, Nigeria. 2003 pp 201 – 202.
2. Federal Ministry of Health (FMOH). The Abuja declaration on Roll Back Malaria in Africa; Extract from African summit on Roll Back Malaria. Scaling up insecticide-treated netting programmes in Africa- A strategic frame work for coordinated action. 2010 Africa health science journal.
3. Atieli Harrysone E., Goufa Zhou, and Guiyun Yan. Insecticide – treated net (ITN) ownership, usage, and malaria transmission in the highlands of western Kenya. Parasites and Vectors. Biomed Central. 2011; 4: 113.
4. World Health Organization. Malaria in Africa. RBM info sheet. Geneva: World Health Organization; in 2010 Africa health science journal.
5. World Health Organization. Malaria report 2012 of Geneva world health organization 2011. Malaria Journal; 2013; 6(4), 12-26.
6. Baume CA, Marin MC. Intra-household mosquito net use in Ethiopia, Ghana, Mali, Nigeria, Senegal, and Zambia: are nets being used? Who in the household uses them? Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 2010; 77 (5): 963 – 971.

- 207 7. World Health Organization. Guidelines for the evaluation of *Plasmodium falciparum*
208 vaccines in populations exposed to natural infections. 2010; *TDR/MAL/VAC/97*.
209 Geneva 1997.
- 210
- 211 8. Centre for Disease Control: “The nation’s prevention agency”. *MMWR Morb Mortal*
212 *Wkly*
213 Rep. 2014; 41 (44): 833.
- 214
- 215 9. Balogun, R. O. Prevalence of malaria and utilization of insecticide treated nets
216 among Undergraduates of Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta; *Malaria*
217 *Journal*, 2012; 12: 17-21
- 218 10. National Population Commission. Volume 1. 2006. www.population.gov.ng
- 219 11. Olurumfemi, E.A, Idowu, O. S. and Chuks, E. A. Determinants of insecticide
220 treated nets use among youth corp members in Edo State, Nigeria; *BMC Public*
221 *Health*; 2011; 11: 728.
- 222 12. Malaria Consortium; 1999. Brief on ITNs for Mosquito Control.
- 223 13. Asoka, T., New Bed net designs. Features Group Europe Nigeria: 2013; pp 1-5.
- 224
- 225 14. Lindsay SW, Alonso P, Armstrong-schellenberg J, Gomeze P. A. Malaria control
226 trial using ITNs and Targeted chemoprophylaxis in a rural area of The Gambia,
227 West Africa. 7 Impact of Permethrin-impregnated bed nets on Malaria vectors.
228 *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*; 1993;
229 87(2): 45.
- 230
- 231 15. Adeyemi AS, Adekande DA, Akinola SE. Use and Prevalence of Insecticide
232 treated Mosquito bed nets among pregnant population in Oshogbo Nigeria. *Nig.*
233 *Med. Pract.* 2007; 52(2):29-32.
- 234
- 235 16. Osero JS, Otieno ME, Orago AS. Maternal use of Insecticide treated nets in the
236 prevention of malaria among children under. 2005.
- 237
- 238 17. Guyatt H, Ochola S. Use of bednets given free to pregnant women in Kenya.
239 *Lancet* 2003; 62(9395):1549-1550.
- 240
- 241 18. Richards, FO; Klein, RE; Zed Flores, R; Weller, S; Gatica, M. Permethrin
242 impregnated bed nets for malaria control in northern Guatemala: Epidemiological
243 impact and community acceptance. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and*
244 *Hygiene*; 1993; 49:410.
- 245 19. Ganiyu, Adekumle Salaudeen, Rabi, Olusegun Jimoh and Omotosho Ibrahim Musa
246 (2009). Awareness and use of Insecticide Treated Nets among women attending ante –
247 natal clinic in a Northern state of Nigeria; *JPMA*. 59: 354; 2009.