



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                                        |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>                                             |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JAMPS_30084                                                                                                         |
| Title of the Manuscript: | THE PRACTICE OF ANTIBIOTIC PROPHYLAXIS FOR CAESAREAN DELIVERY AMONG OBSTETRICIANS AND TRAINEE OBSTETRICIANS IN NIGERIA |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article                                                                                              |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      | <p>This manuscript is very clear, well written and a relevant topic in gynaecology. However, the methodology is deficient.</p> <p>(1)How did the authors calculate the sample size?</p> <p>(2)Authors to describe in detail the current practice of antibiotic prophylaxis for caesarean delivery in methodology section.</p> <p>(3)What are the limitations encountered in this study? This should be the last paragraph in the discussion.</p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. How the sample size was calculated has been included (lines 52-57)</li> <li>2. This has been described previously: in the introduction in lines 36-38, and in the discussion in lines 103-108. In our opinion, it will be too much repetition to put it in the methodology</li> <li>3. This has been added. See lines 145-149</li> </ol> |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |