



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_29987
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritive Composition and Antimicrobial Activity of Moringa stenopetala (Baker f.) Cufod
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 53, from not form Ambo city please remove which Line 195, of what use is the ash content of the seed when it was not compared with leave. Line 201, double full stop., plus remove one Line 208, check edema Line 210, italicize Stenopatele Line 274 leave a space between Ecoli and 23 Line 357 check hydroalcoholic.	form changed as from which is removed the sentence is removed one full stop is removed edema is checked for spelling stenopatele is italicized <i>stenopatele</i> one font space is created Ecoli and 23 hydroalcoholic changed as hydroalcoholic
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Justification on the importance of the study plant as a good nutrients agents before presentation of proximate results is not necessary, and this was done with no reference, it is better the author go straight to the presentation of the result and comparison with past work.	The sentence is completely removed
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Proximate composition will be better as part of the title because the nutrition aspect was analyse proximately	we have not tried to correct this