



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_29784
Title of the Manuscript:	SYNTHESIS OF UNSTABLE VATERITE POLYMORPH OF HOLLOW CALCIUM CARBONATE NANOPARTICLES AND ENCAPSULATION OF THE ANTICANCER DRUG CISPLATIN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 105: The authors should add references regarding these comments. Line 106: The authors should add references regarding these comments. Line 108: The authors should add references regarding these comments. Line 11: The authors should add references regarding these comments. Line 111-113: The authors should rephrase this paragraph. The message the authors want to convey is not clear. - In the graphical abstract the authors use the acronym "CCNP" but it is not established what it stands for in the introduction or abstract. Please add it. Line 153: Correct "gkycol" Line 138: Correct "cispltin" Line 164: The authors should add references regarding to the comments in this paragraph. - The authors should include the amount of cisplatin that was encapsulated in the nanoparticles. This can be obtained by knowing the initial concentration of cisplatin and the concentration after the encapsulation process.	Reference added. Reference added. Reference added. Reference added. Changed as suggested. Thanks for pointing out this. CCNP abbreviation was introduced in the abstract. Thanks. Corrected. Thanks. Corrected. Reference added. Done. Thanks for the suggestion.
Minor REVISION comments	Line 12: Please use a synonym for "As such" in this paragraph. The word was used in the last paragraph as well. Line 22-24: Please rephrase the paragraph. There are words that are repeated, try to find	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	synonyms. 3. Line 55-56: Please rephrase the paragraph “to several reasons, such as, increasing product volume...” 4. Line 128: remove “thus” from “ CaCO₃ particles thus obtained” 5. Please explain more about the novel BET you have developed in your lab.	
Optional/General comments	The paper is well structured and interesting.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>