



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_29764
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge and Attitude of Pregnant Women towards Management of Pregnancy-Induced Hypertension in Southwest Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract In the result section of the abstract, authors should correct the following: “Participants were between ages of 21 to 30 years (49.0%)” It is only 49% of the participants that were between the ages of 21 to 30 hence using participants were could be misleading. Remove the brackets used for {26.5%} are currently pregnant for the average of Introduction: This statement is wrong “About 55,000 women die in Nigeria and this account for 10.0% of the world total maternal mortality rate and 99% of the total death occurring in the developing world” Authors should kindly restructure as the present statement suggests that the maternal mortality deaths in Nigeria accounts for 99% of the total deaths occurring in the developing world. Deaths occurring in the developing world accounts for 99% of all maternal deaths according to WHO, and Nigeria alone does not represent the developing world.	Corrected Done Corrected



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Results: Authors should be careful not to repeat the results in the table in the word section as well. For instance, "...while the ages of their pregnancies ranging from 16 to 20 weeks (26.0%); 21 to 29 week (26.5%), 35 to 40 weeks (26.0%) and 30 to 34 weeks (21.5%)." Could be replaced with "while the ages of pregnancies seem to be fairly distributed as seen in Table 1). Also consider replacing "age of pregnancy" with "gestational age" across board as the term gestational age is more scientific. Correct the term also in Table 1. There were also lots of result repetitions in the discussion section. Although the discussion was not separated from the results, it is assumed that the discussion came after the results based on how this manuscript was structured. However, it might be more ideal to separate results and discussion into two sections with appropriate sub topics for easier flow.	Corrected Done Corrected
Minor REVISION comments	Authors to abbreviate Pregnancy induced hypertension (PIH) the first time it was mentioned in the body of the manuscript then use PIH throughout. Fix the grammatical error in this sentence "The implication of this study for nurse administrators is the need to allocate more nursing personnel to antenatal care clinics so that pregnant women with PIH complications can be allocated adequate time for education them on their condition and reducing waiting time."	Corrected Corrected
Optional/General comments		