



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_29419
Title of the Manuscript:	HEPATOPROTECTIVE AND ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY OF AMORPHOPHALLUS CAMPANULATUS TUBERS EXTRACT AGAINST PARACETAMOL -INDUCED LIVER DAMAGE IN RATS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract: - It does not reflect the data correctly. - No need to write statistical analysis, it is enough in M&M section. - It should be conceive and comprehensive. M&M: - The dose is 2 or 2.5 g/kg - Where is the data of the constituents of methanolic and aqueous extracts for comparing. - It is methanolic or ethanolic extraction - You do not mention in materials CAT, SOD, GSH. - Where is LPO test to reflect the liver damage. Result: - All the tables should have the same groups. - The histopathological sections are not clear. Discussion: - Need improvement.	-Data and statistical analysis has been removed . -Abstract has been tried to make comprehensive. -The dose is 2.5 g/kg -Methanolic and aqueous extracts comparative data are given. -It is methanolic extract. -It is mentioned in 2.5.3. -Unfortunately it is not included in the experiment but there are different tests such as SGOT,SGPT,ALP,total bilirubin. -corrections have been made in the tables. -Discussion has been improved.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		