



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_29269
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF CARDIOPROTECTIVE PROPERTIES OF AQUEOUS AND ETHANOLIC EXTRACTS OF ENTANDROPHRAGMA ANGOLENSE, COLA NITIDA AND GOMPHRENA CELOSIOIDES AGAINST DOXORUBICIN-INDUCED CARDIOTOXICITY IN RATS
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper should be rewritten after language mistake corrections. About the weight of the heart, it is some times written Kidney. The presentation of the work is not good. The groups in the figures are written in a different way to the material and methods.	These remarks have been taken into account in the revision of the manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		