



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_29032
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of a Hydro-ethanolic Extract of Scoparia dulcis on Systemic Inflammation and Cachexia in an Allergic Asthma Model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract The abstract must be structured in five sections: Background, Objectives (Aims), Methods (Methodology), Results and Conclusions. Abstract Condense the abstract into 250 words, to adequate to most paper-search mechanisms, such as PUBMED. Key words The key words are inadequate. Search the key works in the National Library of Medicine - Medical Subject Headings http://www.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/meshhome.html The correct abbreviation for the p-value is "p" (lower case)	Abstract restructured into five sections as suggested. Abstract reduced/condensed. Some keywords replaced with new words. "P" for p-value changed to lower case "p".
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is a well-written article that deserves publication.	