



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_28571
Title of the Manuscript:	Hepatoprotective activity of Nigella sativa oil against Antitubercular drug-induced Hepatotoxicity in Rats
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
1- As Figure 1 presented the macroscopic structure of the liver after different treatments, the histological study described in the section 1.4 (Grading liver) of "Material and methods" should be avoided. 2- Table 2: Footnotes are incomplete: precisions should be done concerning the legends a, b c and d Conclusion: After revisions, this study could be published as a "SHORT COMMUNICATION" not as an "Original Research Paper".	2. It is clear in table 2 what does a, b, c and d mean which has been written. On checking the literature i did not find the mention of a, b---- in the foot notes. It is written in the foot notes that they significantly different from control. So i think there is no need to write about them in the foot note.