



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_26989
Title of the Manuscript:	Acute phosphate nephropathy successfully treated with hemodialysis: a case report and literature review
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	With a single case study can we give conclusion for APhN? Statistical significance is lacking in the study.	Indeed, this is only a case report sharing an experience of managing acute phosphate nephropathy. We don't mean to draw any conclusion via this manuscript. Besides, statistical analysis seems not necessary for a single case report. Thank you for your comment.
Minor REVISION comments	The albumin content is not mentioned after hemodialysis	We did not measure serum albumin levels after hemodialysis because the result of serum albumin was obtained one day before the hemodialysis, and clinical conditions of our case got improved.
Optional/General comments	Language corrections should be taken care off	We have made some corrections in the revised manuscript. Thanks for your comments.