



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_26989
Title of the Manuscript:	Acute phosphate nephropathy successfully treated with hemodialysis: a case report and literature review
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1) Some risk factors for acute phosphate nephropathy were recognised in the described case: female sex, HTN, DM. However, she was given twice the recommended dose of sod phosphate solution. Why? (line 10: OSPS 45 ml per day for 4 days) 2) Could acute phosphate nephropathy be caused by this high dose? 3) In the "case report" part please clarify: The exact regimen for sod phosphate solution administration. The antihypertensive drug used, as it could be additional risk factor	1) The maximal dose of sod phosphate solution suggested in the instruction sheet is "45 ml per day" for adults. Thus, the patients didn't receive excessive dose of sod phosphate solution. 2) High dose of sod phosphate solution is also a risk factor of acute phosphate nephropathy. We have mentioned this in the text (please refer to the line 121,122 in the manuscript). 3) The exact regimen for sod phosphate solution administration in our case is 45 ml daily for 4 days (total amounts: 180 ml) (please refer to the line 48, and line 92-94 in the manuscript). Whereas there was no antihypertensive agents used due to her acceptable blood pressure during admission.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1) In the "case report" part please mention the hospital where the patient was admitted. 2) <u>"Discussion", line 86, 45- ml Fleet contains.....etc:</u> Could the author comment on this paragraph with regard to the actually administered dose? 3) <u>line 110: "reversible renal failure":</u> Acute forms maybe with detrimental renal outcome.	1) We have added the hospital the patient admitted in. (please refer to the line 43 in the manuscript) 2) We have added the information that our case took 45 ml daily for 4 days (total amounts: 180 ml) (please refer to the line 92-94 in the manuscript) 3) We have enriched the statement regarding this issue. Thanks! (please refer to the 114-115 in the manuscript)
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		