



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_26826
Title of the Manuscript:	Invivo Evaluation of Analgesic Activities of Phyllanthus niruri Leaf Methanol Extract in Experimental Animal Models.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Authors evaluated antinociceptive properties of the plant, <i>Phyllanthus niruri</i>. The purpose of the article is interesting, but needs better adjustments; and I suggest that the article requires major Revision. I have some suggestions to improve the work: - The introduction is confused and it is not possible to understand the real objectives of this study. - What are the components present in the different plant extracts? There is a need description of phytochemical extracts to facilitate discussion of their results, even citing his previous work; - Table 1 is confused, the authors tested the extract (15 mg/kg) or is ASA ? - Authors should evaluate their data statistically, in Figure 1 and 2, to show the effect dose response- -it is important to statistically compare the ASA and the extracts? -The discussion is very confusing and the authors discuss the mechanisms of action, however, your data is brief and very speculative. The authors could discuss the possible components involved in the Analgesic activity. Please clarify the ethical issue if any.	Thank you. We have done all the corrections. Corrected Only one plant extract was used and the phytochemicals from previous studies have been stated in lines 17-19. Corrected (It should be 15 mg/kg ASA) Addressed Addressed Addressed Animal experiments were conducted in accordance with NIH guidelines for care and use of Laboratory animals (Pub. No. 85-23, Revised 1985).
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		