



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_26655
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Bioactive Compounds in Latex of Croton bonplandianum (Baill.) using GC-MS
Type of Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I have seen the authors' revision. I am happy with the revision and it is obvious the authors put in a lot of effort. I have made a few additional revisions on the manuscript the relevant pages of which I have attached. There seemed to be a misunderstanding in what I said in my earlier revision about references being consistently cited. In some references only the volume number is given while in others both the volume and issue numbers are given. This is inconsistent. The authors should decide whether they use volume number only for all references or use both volume and issue number for all references. It is easier to follow the former approach.	Dear Reviewer, Thank you very much for your comments regarding the revised manuscript. As per your second evaluation comments regarding references in the reference section, now we have given the volume number only in the references and also we corrected the manuscript as per your correction made in the manuscript. Thank you.