



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_26638
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating tobacco hazard prevention in southern Taiwan
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	All my comments and suggestions are captured in blues: There are major revisions in this manuscript... The abstract didn't convey vivid grammatical information as regards the way the research was conducted. The following corrections should be effected: Research topic: Investigating tobacco hazard prevention in southern Taiwan....This topic is quite deficient in terms of capturing the scope of the research. You investigated the role of non-compliance to stipulated regulatory age limits for youths in the sale of tobacco to minors and possible strategies in tobacco hazard prevention in southern Taiwan. The title of your paper as it is not adequate enough for the scope of the research. Rephrase the title of the paper Introduction: "Statistics 'has' revealed that the percentage of convenience stores, supermarkets, betel nut stalls, and grocery shops that illegally sold tobacco products to minors is high in Taiwan. It is???? critical to prevent tobacco hazards to minors"...this sentence should be rephrased to convey	Abstract has been revised as suggested. (with yellow colour) Research topic has been revised as suggested. (with yellow colour) Introduction has been revised as suggested. (with yellow colour)



SDI Review Form 1.6

	the intended meaning to readers Conclusion: “This study proposes establishing self-management strategies for compliant and noncompliant retailers to prevent illegal sale of tobacco products to minors” This statement does not link the findings of the research with the proposals/suggestions on ways of preventing tobacco hazards. The sentence should be rephrased L10-L11 “Tobacco hazards have caused the death of one hundred million people worldwide in the twentieth century” ..(Source??) L54-L55... “The significance level was set at 0.5.All analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 18)” ..Move this to a separate section captioned: Statistical Analysis. In addition, rewrite it in a detailed format capturing the test statistics used and also the test of significance including the software model and version L67-L88...Test statistically all results at a particular level of significance....either use 99% or 95% confidence interval. That is $P=0.01$ or $P=0.05$.Recompute the table of results to reflect this. In addition, explain the results based on this. Compute a correlational analysis to show the relationship between the use of tobacco hazard prevention and sales of tobacco to minors based on your result. L110-L116: The conclusion is shallow: “This study proposes establishing self-management	Discussion and Conclusion have been revised as suggested. (with yellow colour)
--	---	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

	strategies for compliant and non-compliant retailers to prevent illegal sale of tobacco products to minors...This sentence should not begin the conclusion. Rather it should be put under a new section called "Recommendation" "The findings of the study indicate that smoke-free multimedia pamphlets and advertisements are effective in tobacco hazard prevention".... Include the relationship between the use of tobacco hazard prevention and sales of tobacco to minors based on your result. Furthermore, antismoking campaigns, community resource use, and training of volunteers, such as parents, students, and community retailers, in tobacco hazard prevention education should be organized to form a smoke-free home and "community".. remove (tautology) Environment"	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The research scope has been greatly studied and has quite a lot of articles on it. The one presently under review is a welcome development as it investigates the issue of tobacco use amongst minors in a different geographical setting. However, there is an absence of robust style of scientific writing in this article. Pieces of information were conveyed mostly in a disjointed manner making it technically not sound hence difficult for the supposed audience to understand the entire scope, findings and their correlation to the objective of the research. With robust writing style and adequate revision of the paper, it can be accepted for publication	The manuscript has been edited by expert. Attached is the editing certificate.