



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_25959
Title of the Manuscript:	Diagnostics: Conventional versus Modern methods
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article should be written in paragraph form and not numbered. There is no indication of type of study and methodology.	The article is written in paragraph form only, numbered so that reviewer can comment specifically for specific line/word to improve manuscript. As this is a review article so there is no type of study and methodology.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		