SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMPS_25959**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Diagnostics: Conventional versus Modern methods**
Type of the Article	**Review paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**80 – Methods are not discussed. Host- pathogen and transmission mechanism has been discussed.. No discussion is there on laboratory detection methods.** **82 – Pls provide reference for the table .** **149 – or small molecules which make them different from each other. (either be specific else can be deleted)** **155 – The method is FAST, accurate** **188 – 'and' to be deleted**	Corrections were made as per suggestions.
Minor REVISION comments	**24 – diagnosis** **34 – particular STAGE OF lifecycle** **35 – point of care DIAGNOSTIC METHODS** **90 – ' well into the afternoon ' can be deleted** **140 – DNA and insitu hybridization (comma not required)** **195 – that – those** **197 – to delete ' the best option'** **203 – imposing an unfair burden on a particular group**	Changes were made as per suggestion.
Optional/General comments		