



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_25467
Title of the Manuscript:	The Nutraceuticals: A Voluminous Torrent in Pharmaceuticals- Coupling Health & Drugs
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>A lot of ambiguous statements. Some suggestions for rephrasing were given.</p> <p>More details are needed in the literature, especially in the mechanisms of action of the nutraceuticals for specific ailments like cardiovascular disease.</p> <p>Distinction should be made between nutritional supplements and dietary supplements.</p> <p>The classification of some of the nutraceuticals is not clear cut, like herbs, phytochemicals, etc.</p> <p>The literature requires more content. There are lots of information available. It seems, however, that the number of references surpasses the information in the text.</p> <p>The diagram in fig 1 is unexplained. It does not appear to have much relevance to the work.</p>	<p>Aptly taken care off.</p> <p>Aptly taken care off.</p> <p>Aptly taken care off.</p> <p>Aptly taken care off.</p> <p>Wherever required content have been added.</p> <p>Rectified figure have been included in text.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>One major recurrent mistake is the choice of wrong words and poor construction of sentences which make them ambiguous, thus not conveying the true message.</p> <p>Please pay attention to the comments/notes in the highlighted portions.</p>	<p>Aptly taken care off.</p>