



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_25296
Title of the Manuscript:	Histological and biochemical studies of the actions of a multi-herbal tea on the testis in Wistar rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is a very well written paper with proper description of introduction and methodology but there are no legends to the figures drawn and this is a major drawback of this manuscript.	The comments are accepted and necessary adjustments have been carried out in the areas highlighted. We have added years to the noted references and the missing legends have been added. An attempt has been made to update some of the references that do not pertain to analytical methods that have not changed over the years.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		