



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_25296
Title of the Manuscript:	Histological and biochemical studies of the actions of a multi-herbal tea on the testis in Wistar rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are interesting founding in this manuscript.. However, there is a need for some minor revision before the article may be accepted for publication in such journal. - There are a few lectures error in the article. - Introduction should be rewritten, because the meaning is lacking integrity issues - There are some sentences again in the introduction. For example, line 38 - What is the content of a herbal mixture tea? - No description of the Figures - literature should be updated - Necessary adjustments are shown in the article	The Moh Reviewer has carried out a very comprehensive critique of this article.. Since I have addressed, line by line, the issues raised in that list, I think it is safe to say that most of the issues raised here have been covered already in our response to those comments. However, what we are saying in line 38 is that a lot of studies are being done at the moment to investigate the claims that polyphenols from tea have many health benefits. The literature has been updated in a number of places as well.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		