



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                                                                                           |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>                                                                                                |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JAMPS_25194</b>                                                                                                                                                     |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Anti-oxidative and Hepato-protective effects of Pseudocedrela kotschyi against Paracetamol Induced Liver damage: A Biochemical and Histological evaluation in Rats</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>                                                                                                                                          |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | <p>comment on the paper</p> <p>line 85 lack the ethics committee that approved the project</p> <p>Histopathological study, improve writing</p> <p>The results should be more clear and precise</p> <p>Table 1 and 2 missing units (CAT, SOD)</p> <p>The comparison between groups is unclear, I think they should use different symbols</p> <p>In Figure 1 and 2 must be improved and put the legend .</p> <p>On the Y axis, Missing significant differences</p> <p>Figure 3 should go in a single panel, indicating the increase and use arrows to highlight the important</p> | <p>This has been defined</p> <p>This has been done</p> <p>Unit has been inserted for CAT and SOD</p> <p>Symbol** was used to identify the significant values.</p> <p>The histological interpretation has been included under every slides and discussed accordingly under Discussion. The field shown is just a ONE-FIELD under the microscope.</p> <p>The Photomicrographs were taken according to the groups. The clarity of the pictures show the pathology without need for pointer.</p> |



SDI Review Form 1.6

|                                  |                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                         |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                  | <p>In the discussion it is very repetitive and confusing</p> <p><b>Please clarify the ethical issue:</b></p> <p><b>Yes, n the use of animals</b></p> | <p>Reference has been made to the NIH Publication on the principles of the use of animals for research. No specific ethical committee for Animal study where the research was done.</p> |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments   |                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments |                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                         |