



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_24949
Title of the Manuscript:	Antioxidant effect of aqueous extract from Curcuma longa rhizomes (Zingiberaceae) in the typhoid fever induced in Wistar rats model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 130: Authours should clear describe the statement traditional leaders doses and how they were determined Line 149 and others: Authors should stick to one of rpm or xg Line 197: Authors should state the level of significance ($p < 0.05$) Line 269-270: Authors inserted a statement ' These results can be explained by food consumption of experimental rats'. This statement is not explained. Authors should reconsider its position Figure 1 and 2: Authors should be consistent in the use of Legends for the same treatment Table 1-6: All statistical letters should be superscripts Table 1: Authors should indicate the statistical implication Turnitin Similarity index was 34% and there were several copied text in the manuscript Find attached the Turnitin report	Line 130: traditional leaders dose was described (line116-122) 2.2.3 Determination of traditional leaders dose Line 269-270: This statement was removed All the observations were corrected except Table 1: no statistical implication
Minor REVISION comments	Line 43-44: <i>S. typhi</i>, <i>S. paratyphi</i> A and <i>S. paratyphi</i> B Line 66: delete , after family Line 92, 203, 263, 279, 329: <i>typhi</i> Line 149: 3000 Line 151: peroxidase (POD), malondialdehyde (MDA), nitric oxide (NO), alkaline phosphatase (ALP) Line 160, 179: Schimadzu 1501 spectrophotometer, Japan	All the observations were corrected



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 195: mean±standard error of mean (S.E.M.) Line 234: decrease was not significant in... Line 235 delete was Line 240: From Line 243: control, suggesting that the Line 248: delete was Line 256: Except Line 281: hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and hydroxyl radical (OH[·]) Line 285: O₂ and H₂O₂ Line 310: secretory Line 332: step. Line 349: the District Line 361: Font size of Int J..... Line 385: antidiarrhoeal Line 400: CCl₄ Line 411: occidentalis in Line 419: CCl₄	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	None	