



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_24527
Title of the Manuscript:	Middle Trunk of Brachial Plexus Schwannoma : A case report with uncommon localization and literature review
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 93: I disagree that radiation and chemotherapy have no definitely role in management of schwannoma. Radiation is standard of care for many intracranial schwannoma, such as acoustic neuroma. I suggest the author revise this paragraph. Radiation may be of definite use for schwannoma that is non-respectable, or resection with high complication rate.	Thank you for your advice. I honestly did not find any reference for RT role except for acoustic and spinal neuroma. But I agree that RT shud be considered for large, deep and malignant type. Hence I have added the statement that RT has no definite role for uncomplicated cervival neuomma
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	none	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	none	