



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_24382
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative Study of the Ameliorative Effects of Skimmed Milk and Tuna Fish in the Dietary Management of Osteoporotic Female Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There is a comparison between the administration of two protein compounds. First of all it's no possible to include osteoporosis with osteartrosis. Osteoporosis process is described insufficiently. The methodology is unclear. Which is the reason to compare the skimmed milk with tuna fish powdered? The composition of the product administered is not described. The protocol study obviously cannot bring any scientific results comparing two protein diet effects on osteoporosis. Furthermore, other hormone, such as IGF-1 should be detected.	Thank you for the comments. We have tried to make corrections as much as possible where necessarily to improve the quality of the paper.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		