



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_24351
Title of the Manuscript:	Four Compounds Suppressing Growth of Mycobacterium tuberculosis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This study involved aspects of Microbiology and Public Health The manuscript is well written and interesting and important for microbiology researchers and Publics Health and Hospital managers, although there are some suggestions to be done. 1. I suggest the reduction in size of the abstract, mainly the topics "Results" and "conclusions". They could be reduced. Maybe the topic "place and duration of the study" could be suppressed, and the most important information placed elsewhere.	The Results and Conclusions portions of the ABSTRACT have been reduced in size, as suggested.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In the introduction topic, I would suggest insert in the end of the topic the aim (or aims) of the study. It's not shown there.	A statement of aims of the study has been placed at the end of the INTRODUCTION section.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This article is well written, and after the changes suggested, it's gonna be suitable for publication	I thank the Reviewer for the comment.