



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_24250
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIOXIDANT PROPERTIES OF MORINGA OLEIFERA OIL AND ANACARDIUM OCCIDENTALE OIL ON CADMIUM INDUCED LIVER DAMAGE IN ADULT WISTAR RATS.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Moringa oleifera should be written in italic only. Somewhere it is written and somewhere not. 2. In line 15 & 16 Cd indicates what? It should be Cd²⁺. 3. Introduction is unnecessary elaborated; It is suggested to reduce morphological appearance and history of occurrence of plants. 4. bw is not a standard abbreviation. 5. The unit of MDA and SOD cannot be similar. See table 2. 6. In Introduction and Materials & Methods references are indicated in numbers only while in discussion name and numbers both are given. Uniform format for reference writing is not followed. <p>Ethical issue: Animal ethics committee approval reference number is not mentioned for animal use.</p>	We are very grateful for your constructive criticism and scientific observations. We have carefully done the necessary correction and adjustment as commented. Thanks
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Concentration and pH of phosphate buffer used for drug preparation in study is not given. 2. In catalase discussion same information is repeated again and again. Please see. 3. References are not written in journal's uniform format. 	We are very grateful for your constructive criticism and scientific observations. We have carefully done the necessary correction and adjustment as commented. Thanks
Optional/General comments	Manuscript can be accepted for publish after major revision	