



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_24141
Title of the Manuscript:	Hemodialysis-associated oxidative stress: Comparison of Helixone® and Polysulfone® dialyzer
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Please correct the grammatical mistakes, punctuation marks and spacing. 2. If Heliox membrane has better antioxodative properties then what is the indication for use of polysulphone membrane, please specify	1- The paper went through correction of grammatical mistakes, punctuation and spacing as it is mentioned by yellow highlighting. 2- Yes, polysulphone dialyzers were totally replaced by helixone ones at our hemodialysis facilities of the hospital, and therefore, before this replacement, we have planned to study the oxidative parameters in patients under both conditions. It was an opportunity to perform the study while the hospital was planning to switch to Helixone dialyzers. Know, we have convincing scientifique arguments that justify the superiority of helixone over polysuphone membranes, despite their expensive price compared to polysulphone, and all that is beneficial to patient's health.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		