



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_23966
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of active and passive smoking on the urinary concentration of 1 hydroxyl pyrene, oxidative stress and renal activity in male subjects
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The MS lacks of informed consent and Ethics Committee approval of the study. In the conclusion author(s) mention that passive smokers are at higher risk than active smokers: from data, it seems that both passive and active smokers, have the same risk.	Thanks for your observation on the ethical approval issue. The consent of all experimental subjects were sought for and obtained prior to the collection of specimen samples. In addition, the ethics committee of the institution approved the study as highlighted in the Helsinki Declaration and reinforced in subsequent principles such as Good Clinical Practice/International Committee on Harmonisation. It was an omission and has been corrected in the manuscript. Your observation on "...passive smokers are at higher risk than active smokers" was duly noted and has been corrected in the manuscript. It was an error. Thank you for your meticulous review of my manuscript.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		