



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_23624
Title of the Manuscript:	AN OVER VIEW OF CHRONOTHERAPEUTIC DRUG DELIVERY SYSTEMS BASED ON FLOATING PULSATILE CONCEPT
Type of the Article	Review papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript covers important subject and can be accepted after plagirsm check, making all corrections, and comments suggested. <i>My specific observations regarding Manuscripts and Suggestions:</i> 1. Copyright transfer from respective publisher in case of figures used in the manuscript is must (only giving reference would not be sufficient) 2.As the article need addition of some quality references and examples to complete the overall sense, I suggest inclusion of at least two to three cross contradictory opinions for quality publication. 3. Manuscript needs Grammatical improvement throughout, suggest authors to seek help from some experts for quality presentation. 4. Include at lease 10 references from scopus indexed journals related to pulsatile drug delivery, Sustained drug delivery, Floating drug delivery system.	1.Figures have been deleted. So copy right form not applicable. 2. Cross contradictory opinions not available. 3. Manuscript was corrected grammatically. 4. References were included



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<i>The authors can include following quality recently references (2014-2015) which used systematic drug development technologies known to me:</i> (I) QbD-Oriented Development and Characterization of Effervescent Floating-Bioadhesive Tablets of Cefuroxime Axetil, AAPS PharmSciTech (Impact Factor: 1.64). 11/2015; DOI: 10.1208/s12249-015-0431-9 . (II) QbD-enabled systematic development of gastroretentive multiple-unit microballoons of itopride hydrochloride, Drug Delivery (Impact Factor: 2.56). 05/2014; DOI: 10.3109/10717544.2014.916771 (Also this paper has been awarded as Internationally best research by EVONIK GmbH/ Eudragit AWARD 2014 in July 2015) (iii) Development and Evaluation of Novel Cordia myxa Fruit Gum Based Mucoadhesive Tablets for Gastroretentive Delivery of Losartan Potassium, Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research 09/2015; 7(9):652-661.	These references were included in the manuscript.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		