



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                    |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#"><u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u></a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_JAMPS_23330</b>                                                              |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>CARDIOTOXICITY ASSESSMENT OF RADIOGRAPHIC DEVELOPER EFFLUENT IN WISTAR RATS</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Articles</b>                                                  |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | <p><b>1. The manuscript are poo present in the figure, not show any analysis of the images and made only a morphological description.</b></p> <p><b>2. The images are poor and stranger different in quality between control a treatment. Not show calibration or similar background and not used the same legend in the images for description of the results.</b></p> <p><b>3. Is necessary used a images software analisys, for basic measurement, in example long of the fiber, number of nucleos, other amplification and other staning (supravital) for support the general idea of the manuscript</b></p> <p><b>4. If there is any ethical issue please clarify</b></p> | <p>1. We have made some changes in the result section to reflect the reviewer's concerns. The histological changes observed in the figures have been stated.</p> <p>2. We do appreciate the reviewer's concern over the quality of the photomicrographs. We have marked the histopathological changes with arrows for more clarity.</p> <p>3. We don't have any image analysis software and could not measure the length of the fibers, number of nuclei etc, as suggested by the reviewer.</p> <p>4. There were no ethical issues. The present study was done in conformity with Helsinki's declaration on the use and care of experimental animals.</p> |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |