



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_23307
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibacterial Efficacy of Ageratum conyzoides on Salmonella species isolated from Suspected Typhoid Fever Patients in Akure Metropolis, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is interesting and provides valuable information for audiences in the field of alternative antimicrobial approaches against pathogenic bacteria. However, there are some grammatical errors in the manuscript. Therefore, the manuscript can be accepted after the errors are corrected. The followings are examples of grammatical errors found in the manuscripts. L. 27; extract at concentration 100 mg/ml..... (should be "a concentration of 100 mg/ml") L.29; the hexane extracts (should be "extract") L. 34; The finding show that.....(should be "shows") There are many sentences that have no full stop at the end of the sentences. Examples are at L.110 and L.127. L.157; same organism.....(should be "the same organism")	All the corrections have been effected as reflected in the revised version All the corrections have been effected as reflected in the revised version All the corrections have been effected as reflected in the revised version
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. There are both "gram" and "Gram" in the manuscript. Please check throughout the manuscript and use the same word throughout the manuscript. (L.55; gram-negative L.58; Gram-negative) 2. L.75; The words "new" and "novel" have very similar meanings. Therefore, there is no need to use both of them at the same time. 3. L.99; there is no need to have "(2000)". 4. L.109; the reference [13] should be deleted. 5. L.144; "triplicate" not "triplicates" 6. L.239; "<i>Salmonella</i>" not "<i>salmonella</i>"	All the corrections have been effected as reflected in the revised version All the corrections have been effected as reflected in the revised version
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		