



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_23186
Title of the Manuscript:	In Vivo Studies On the Possible Hypolipidemic Alterations in Rats Adminstered Hibiscus Sabdariffa Aqueous Extract
Type of the Article	Original research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. You need to change word in title: administered by administered. 2. In line 139. Your idea is increase or decrease. "significant increases" when if you look your results you have decrease of levels in LDL, VLDL and TAG. 3. In line 141. Drafting: of the extract-treated rats compared to ~~that of~~ the normal control. 4. References. You need to give same format for all your references. 5. You need to use one reference substance as a hypolipidemic to compare results evidently.	RESPONSE: OK. RESPONSE: The typographical errors have been taken care of. It is actually decreases RESPONSE: Ok. It has been corrected. RESPONSE: The reference formats have been synchronized according to the journal format.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		