SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMPS_20079**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A Simple and Sensitive UV Spectrophotometric Method for the Determination of Ibandronate Sodium in Pharmaceutical Formulations and Bulk Drugs**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**- The background regarding the methods for the determination of ibandronic acid is missing.** **- The manuscript is lack of a separate discussion section which is mandatory in an original article.** **- The references are not enough in number. More and recent citations are necessary.** **Ethical Issue:** Please provide the committee where the ethical approval was obtained.	DONE IT'S INSTRUMENT BASED WORK.
Minor REVISION comments	**- There are some minor issues which were addressed inside the pdf file.**	DONE
Optional/General comments	**- I appreciate the effort of the authors on this article. The subject of the article is of interest and methodological quality is not fair. However, the manuscript needs a re-writing.**	CHECKED AND DONE