



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_JAMPS_16569
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDIES ON THE EFFECTS OF ZIDOVUDINE, NEVIRAPINE, LAMIVUDINE AND BIOCLEAR II ON FEMALE HIV/AIDS CASES IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Ethical issue remain not addressed The revised document is improved compare to the first but putting women with CD₄⁺ T cells of less than 200x10⁶ cells/L and viral load of 304161.8copies/ml in no validated treatment during 8 mouths without consent according to Helsinki declaration is a huge ethical problem. If addressed the manuscript can be published after slight mentioned revision.	Each participant had her situation fully explained before being allowed to sign the consent for. During the study, each participant was closely monitored toxicologically for any indication to terminate the investigation (Data appear elsewhere). The small sample size is also a reflection of our understanding of the exploratory nature of our investigation. Scientific breakthroughs in the past have had some exploratory stages.