SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences**
Manuscript Number:	**2015_JAMPS_16569**
Title of the Manuscript:	**COMPARATIVE STUDIES ON THE EFFECTS OF ZIDOVUDINE, NEVIRAPINE, LAMIVUDINE AND BIOCLEAN II ON FEMALE HIV/AIDS CASES IN NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Clearer description of study design and statistical methods used to justify the feasibility of this study and the ability to make conclusions based on 5 patients, unless the paper is presented as a preliminary/exploratory case study **Ethical Issue:** No ethical issues I could identify, as long it is clearly stated that no strong conclusions for Bioclean's effect can be made based on a study of 5 patients	Accepted. Correction effected.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		