



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_26789
Title of the Manuscript:	IN VIVO ANTIPLASMODIAL ACTIVITY OF RAW ETHANOLIC SEED EXTRACT OF TETRACARPIDIUM CONOPHORUM IN SWISS ALBINO MICE INFECTED WITH PLASMODIUM BERGHEI.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Ethical issues : Use of animals	Agreed and Corrected, see new lines 84-86.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	This MS is publishable as it is but with minor corrections to be considered. Title Ok . Abstract 1. The <i>Plasmodium berghei</i> (NK65): authors may need to mention whether it a chloroquine sensitive or resistant strain 2. Line 10: the word graded to change to <i>increasing dosages</i> (200, 400, 600mg/kg) Introduction 1. 1 Line 47: The sentence – According to [6] is not appropriate. It should be <i>According to WHO (2009)</i>..... The author of the source of information must be mentioned not the reference numbers. This is a common mistake in the text of the MS and need to be corrected where these mistakes appear. Material and Methods	It has been mentioned and corrected. Agreed and corrected. Agreed and corrected. The measurement was carried out



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 101: Measurement of Weight and temperature Were the measurement done daily? Line 109: Parasitaemia in the mice was determined, using the method by whom? – not the reference 15. Line 115-117: If the mice were fed with extracts for four executive days then why was blood sample collected on the four day – it should allow for sometimes for extracts to take effect so collection of blood would be appropriate, may be on the fifth day. Result Line 149: incomplete sentence Discussion The discussion really needs to have focused a little on the suppressive effect of the plant extracts since this was the main purpose of the study. Conclusion Ok and a sentences like - <i>further evaluation needs to be carried out before it could be employed for treatment of malaria</i>- could more appropriate with the conclusion	daily throughout the experiment. Agreed and corrected. Agreed and corrected. The blood was collected on the fifth day. It was an error in typing. Agreed and corrected.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		