

Editor's Comment:

I reviewed the revised manuscript submitted by the authors. Unfortunately, the authors did not satisfactorily address all the specific comments previously sent to them, nor provided a post-by-point response to my queries. Listed below are the outstanding issues that have not been addressed by the authors:

1. The authors stated that salbutamol was used in their experiment, but they did not provide any results for it. Since salbutamol is not an anti-inflammatory drug, it would not presumably be expected to show any effects on the inflammatory markers that were studied. Nevertheless, they need to show its effect in their Result section.
2. The authors did not provide a basis for choosing the dose of the prednisolone used in their study. It is not sufficient to state that the dose of prednisolone was chosen from the quoted three references. Did the three quoted references provide dose response studies for selecting the dose of prednisolone? If not, did the authors perform dose-response studies with prednisolone and the extract? It is pharmacologically very essential to compare both drugs using quantitative measures such as ED50 values and relative potency calculations for these two drugs.
3. The authors needs to state how this plant extract is prepared by ordinary persons living in Ghana as a therapeutic drug. What is the amount of the dose used and its dose-frequency. How do the dose used in this investigation relate to the actual doses used in man? Are there any formal toxicity studies (animals or human) published in the literature for this extract?

The authors need to address our comments and revise their manuscript. The revision must be highlighted in yellow color.

Editor's Details:

Dr. Esam Z. Dajani
President, IDDC Corporation, USA
Adjunct Professor of Medicine, Loyola University Chicago, USA