



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	2014_JAMPS_15296
Title of the Manuscript:	Interobserver agreement for Pap smear and visual inspection tests in southern Brazil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In lines 131 to 139, there is a mistake in the values in the table. In pap concordance between observer 1 and observer 2, the total of 33 + 10 does not add up to 70 as shown in the table. Also using the given values, the kappa for the same is not 0.77. Please review your data for the same, as it will affect your outcome and discussion	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In line 35 – Please give the full form of ALTS – with reference to the study. In line 51 – it may be more appropriate to use the words “alternative screening method “ rather than “important shortcut “	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohit Saraogi
Department, University & Country	Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Maharashtra university of Health Sciences, India