



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_22692
Title of the Manuscript:	Losing Terra Firma: The case for volunteerism in Surgeons.
Type of the Article	Opinion article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The paper needs to have examples of the actual procedures that you volunteered on surgery and what were your success and failures. 2. This story can get more potency if married with real pictures. 3. Method of quotation is not seen 4. The conclusion must be put to express the volunteerism effect in the story. 5. You need to articulate properly on the title in the story.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Your abstract is quite different with the main story	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This is good review paper if the above comments are incooperated	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mbirimtengerenji D. Noel
Department, University & Country	University of Malawi, Malawi