



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_22692
Title of the Manuscript:	Losing Terra Firma: The case for volunteerism in Surgeons.
Type of Article	Opinion article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I think the paper should be improved by for example saying how philanthropy has been done before and how it has helped and how this was difference. How is this one difference from the others done elsewhere? The author seeks to motivate the learner but it looks like his motivation does not touch on the cognitive	I thank the reviewer for taking the time to have a particularly high interest in my opinion piece. I would like to reiterate: the piece is an opinion, and not a recommendation or review article, and hence agreements and disagreements are warranted. Even then, I have added more content, that addresses how philanthropy has had an influence in medical systems before and that we can take those lessons and use them in this situation (Reference No. 8). I apologize the reviewer was not moved/touched with how much I have learned after witnessing the largest industrial genocide in history which killed more than 1500 poor workers, and how I want other surgeons-in-training to learn from my experience, but then again that's also an opinion, and disagreements can occur.