



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_22692
Title of the Manuscript:	Losing Terra Firma: The case for volunteerism in Surgeons.
Type of the Article	Opinion article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper reads like a true emotional story of what happened –like a personal journal story. It does not have a method to it a clear path to what the author intends to say in a scientific journal. Philanthropy in surgery is an important and at times an emotional subject, but building a case for it from a case scenario like this requires us to think of what is the compelling reason we should volunteer so that we do not loose our humanness. The abstract seems to be lone standing; there are good ideas in the abstracts that were not developed in the body of the paper. The paper should be revised in order to give a message that is lacking	The paper does, as the reviewer correctly pointed out, follow an unorthodox approach to address the humanness of surgical practice. Some of the ideas in the abstract have been developed and expanded to provide a more visceral analysis of the issues at play. The paper has been thoroughly revised and incorporates the advice by the reviewer.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	English need to be revised	I would like to thank the reviewer for providing an insightful review. I have incorporated the changes and revised the language.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		